The June 28, 2018 ESINet Steering Committee meeting started at 11:00 AM. Rob Jackson called the roll.

**Members present or via telephone:**

Commissioner Ed Humphrey, County Commissioners’ Association of Ohio  
Jason Loree, Ohio Township Association  
Tom Robbins, Ohio Municipal League  
Rick Carfagna, Ohio House of Representatives  
John Leutz, County Commissioners’ Association of Ohio  
Matthew Hiscock, Ohio Municipal League  

**Other Attendees:**  
Rob Jackson, Ohio 9-1-1 Administrator  
Staff from Senator Hoagland’s Office  
Sharon Jennings, DAS Attorney  
Jay Somerville, Chair of the PSAP Operations Sub Committee  

A quorum was established. Mr. Jackson welcomed everyone and proceeded with the first agenda item. A motion to approve the May meeting minutes was made by Mr. Leutz and seconded by Mr. Robbins. There were no discussions and a roll call vote was taken:

Commissioner Ed Humphrey, County Commissioners’ Association of Ohio - yes  
Jason Loree, Ohio Township Association - yes  
Tom Robbins, Ohio Municipal League - yes  
Rick Carfagna, Ohio House of Representatives - yes  
John Leutz, County Commissioners’ Association of Ohio - yes  
Mr. Matthew Hiscock – abstained (not present at last meeting)

Mr. Jackson discussed the status of the vacancy on the Technical Standards Sub-Committee as a result the departure of Skip Dalton of Frontier. Contact has been made with OTA and others and a recommendation has not yet been received.

The Technical Standards Sub-Committee and PSAP Operations Sub-Committee had no activity to report.

PSAP Administrator update: Attendance at NENA National Conference and NASNA gave access to several educational sessions and updates on location accuracy development, an overall productive opportunity.

Support and Compliance update: Sharon McMurray reported on the submission of Belmont County’s compliance documentation and the presentation of their response letter at a Belmont County
Commissioner’s meeting. A list of counties having submitted compliance documentation will be made available to the committee at the future meetings.

The Federal Engineering report was distributed for review electronically this morning at 8:00AM. Eric Parry was available via conference bridge to answer questions. The floor was opened for discussion and questions regarding the FE Report and the proposed ORC 128 language. Tom Robbins stated the report gave him the information he needed and it was exactly what he was looking for.

A question was asked if any changes were made to the report between when the committee members received it on June 14 and today. Mr. Jackson said no changes were made to the FE report that was delivered and sent to the committee members. An additional concern was brought up about the FE report only being distributed this morning which made it difficult to review thoroughly prior to the meeting.

Mr. Jackson explained the committee members were afforded the opportunity to review and become familiar with the report before distributing it to the masses this morning. This was done specifically so the committee members could discuss the report in depth at the public meeting. The report was distributed this morning so stakeholders could follow along, then analyze those discussions while reviewing the report in depth concerning their specific perspective and be prepared to ask questions, or submit comments prior to the July meeting. There was no intent to keep the report secret, but rather to allow the committee members to handle their tasks and be prepared to then receive comments after their initial discussion.

Clarification was also given regarding the bill and keep and 50 cent charge by telephone companies at the local level. There is nothing in the proposed legislative language that will affect the fees currently being charged at the local level.

Mr. Jackson also advised he has contacted The Department of Taxation to find out, if the proposed legislation passes, how long before the collection of those funds would be made available to allow the State to start purchasing services.

Clarifications were also requested and comments made for the following topics from the FE report:

- Accounting for the varying status’ of the individual counties in terms of the funding needed
- Criteria used to identify small, medium and large counties
- Would the proposed amount be sufficient?
- Concern for the historic calculation of distribution/adjustment of formula needed
- Could additional funding be set up as a grant program?
- A CAD will not be included as part of the State’s core services
- Following FE report recommendations for a 1 year trial period
- Establishing a trigger for determining efficacy of funding
- Funding of 1% and 2% respectively for Taxation and the 9-1-1 Program Office
- Technical questions regarding the proposed system.
The funding of Taxation and the 9-1-1 Program Office were explained with the current ORC language. Attendees were invited to submit concerns in writing so they can be answered in a specific fashion. The technical questions were also advised to be submitted in writing so the proper resources could read and understand the question before replying with a technical answer.

There were a variety of comments concerning the FE report. Rob Herrington, Jefferson County 9-1-1 Coordinator, indicated the report was of no value in his opinion and discussed specific areas lacking. He further stated that he thought it was a rubber stamp of what they had already been told and that much of the data provided to them seemed not to be considered. The teleconference connection was not the best and made it difficult to hear. Mr. Jackson asked that Mr. Herrington please submit all his concerns in writing so the committee gets exactly what he has concerns with and Mr. Herrington agreed to send a submission.

Kim Brandt, Van Wert County Coordinator, asked a variety of questions concerning NG9-1-1 and what the counties would be getting. She also indicated her continuing question concerning other state’s 9-1-1 fees being 50 cents or more and why Ohio was only going to have a 25 cent fee. She further stated she would like to see information about what the county is getting and what they have to supply. Mr. Jackson reiterated that the RFP is and has been available, but that it was a long/technical read. It was asked for the Ohio 9-1-1 Program Office to send out a brief summary or high-level explanation of the vision. Mr. Jackson said he would send it out to the coordinators.

Discussion of Planning Committee membership:

Mr. Leutz provided two additional options addressing the selection of the Planning Committee. Mr. Jackson will send a copy of all proposed options out to the distribution list for review.

New Business:
Commissioner Ed Humphrey, County Commissioners’ Association of Ohio - No
Jason Loree, Ohio Township Association - No
Tom Robbins, Ohio Municipal League - No
Rick Carfagna, Ohio House of Representatives - No
John Leutz, County Commissioners’ Association of Ohio - No
Mr. Matthew Hiscock – No

The next meeting date was TBD.

Motion to adjourn was made by Mr. Robbins and seconded by Mr. Carfagna. All were in favor and the meeting at 12:44 PM.